

# Stinsford Parish Council

Minutes of the extraordinary meeting held on Monday 15 February 2021 at 7.00pm via Zoom

**Present:** Mr George Armstrong (Chairman), Mr Michael Clarke (Vice-Chairman), Ms Liz Crocker, Ms Susan Escott, Mrs Julie Martin and Ms Sarah Jane Pattison

**Also in attendance:** Miss Kirsty Riglar (Clerk) and one member of the public (Ms Sally Cooke)

## 21. Apologies for Absence

21.1 No apologies for absence were received.

## 22. Declarations of Interest

22.1 There were no declarations of disclosable pecuniary or other interest.

## 23. Neighbourhood Plan for Stinsford

23.1 The Parish Council considered the revised draft Neighbourhood Plan and the approval of commencement of the formal pre-submission consultation and publication of the document. Members welcomed the document, commending its clarity and quality. Ms Cooke explained that, subject to the Parish Council's approval, the consultation plan would now be activated; the Plan would be published on the Neighbourhood Plan website and a number of hard copies printed for non-users of the internet. It was hoped that this would commence on 15 March 2021. Following this period of consultation, it would then be necessary to consider whether further changes should be made to the document before it was submitted to Dorset Council.

23.2 The Parish Council expressed their thanks to Ms Cooke for the huge amount of work she had put into the process of developing the Neighbourhood Plan, Mr and Mrs Clarke for their research on the heritage aspects, Ms Jo Witherden for producing such a good document and Mr Chris Mervik for the photographs used.

23.3 It was **resolved** that the pre-submission consultation and publication as required by The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 commence as soon as possible.

## 24. Consultation – National Planning Policy Framework and National Model Design Code consultation proposals

24.1 The Parish Council considered its response to this consultation and resolved to submit the following comments:

Question number	Response
1	Paragraphs 8b and 8c should also include express reference to the importance of infrastructure and climate change.
2	The proposed timeframe of 30 years is too long and could lead to a lack of flexibility, particularly in relation to the need to respond to the climate emergency. A regular review of the vision – possibly every 5 years - needs to be included.
3	Yes – preference for the option “where they relate to change of use to residential, be limited to situations where this is essential to avoid wholly unacceptable adverse impacts”.

	Decision-making should be as flexible as possible to encourage vibrant mixed-use retail and residential town centres. Decision-making
4	Agreed
5	Agreed
6	Agreed
7	Agreed but more detail is required.
8	Agreed – it is of the utmost importance that the design code is developed in close consultation with local neighbourhood areas for any development either via the neighbourhood planning process or through parish and town councils.
9	No comment
10	No comment
11	Agreed
12	Decisions to keep and explain or remove should be made at the local level and reflect local circumstances.
13	No comment
14	No comment
15	No comment
16	There needs to be an explicit statement about how plans will respond to the comments received from stakeholder groups representing the protected characteristics.

The meeting concluded at 8:18pm.

**Chairman**..... **Date**.....